# Theoretical Study on the Assembly and Stabilization of a Magic Cluster Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup>

Li-ming Yang, Yi-hong Ding,\* and Chia-chung Sun

State Key Laboratory of Theoretical and Computational Chemistry, Institute of Theoretical Chemistry, Jilin University, Changchun 130023, People's Republic of China

Received: February 7, 2007; In Final Form: June 23, 2007

We report the first attempt to assemble the magic cluster  $Al_4N^-$  on the basis of the density functional theory calculations on a series of  $\pi$ -stacked dimers  $(Al_4N^-)_2$ , sandwich-like compounds  $[D(Al_4N)M]^{q-}$  (where  $D = Al_4N^-$ ,  $Cp^-(C_5H_5^-)$ ; M = Li, Na, K, Be, Mg, Ca) and extended compounds  $(Cp^-)_m(Li^+)_n(Al_4N^-)_0$  (where *m*, *n*, and *o* are integers). For the six metals, the magic  $Al_4N^-$  can only be assembled and grow up in our newly proposed "hetero-decked sandwich" scheme (e.g.,  $[CpM(Al_4N)]^{q-}$ ) so as to avoid cluster fusion. The ground-state hetero-decked sandwich species  $(Cp^-)(M)^{q+}(Al_4N^-)_0$  are mainly ionically bonded, cluster-assembled "polyatomic molecules", grown from the combination of  $Cp^-$ , M-atoms, and  $Al_4N^-$ . As a prototype for ionic bonding involving intact  $Al_4N^-$  subunits,  $[CpM(Al_4N)]^{q-}$  may be a stepping stone toward forming ionic, cluster-assembled AlN compounds.

### 1. Introduction

The chemistry and industry of aluminum nitride (AlN)<sup>1-5</sup> have attracted considerable attention due to its joint functions among material science<sup>1-4</sup> and nanoscience/technology (AlN fullerenes and nanotubes)<sup>2</sup> and its wide applications in semiconductors<sup>3</sup> and ceramics.<sup>4</sup> Small aluminum nitride clusters have been the subject of extensive studies over the past two decades.<sup>5</sup> A large number of aluminum nitride clusters have been probed experimentally. Additionally, the discovery of fullerenes  $(C_{60})^6$ (and the subsequent preparation in bulk quantities), metcars  $(M_8C_{12})$ ,<sup>7</sup> and  $Al_{13}^{-8a-c}$  have inspired speculation that it might be possible to form macroscopic samples without coalescing with one another and losing their individual identities. Although, AlN chemistry has undergone vast development, a huge gap still exists between the small building blocks and the bulk solid compounds. How can the small units grow up into clusterassembled bulk species? Elucidating the growth mechanism will shed insight on designing novel AlN compounds.

Currently, one promising concept that has emerged is the possibility of creating new compounds using atomic or compound clusters as the building blocks, as was previously discussed by Khanna and Jena<sup>8d,e</sup> and by Kaya and co-workers.<sup>8f</sup> If possible, this would bring the level of atomic control realized in the properties of clusters to the design of compounds with desirable collective traits. Generally, building blocks that are used for cluster-assembled compounds are highly stable closedshell species, for example, fullerenes, metcars, magic clusters, and superatoms. The magic-numbered unit Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-5</sup> ( $D_{4h}$ ) cluster forms the focus of our study due to its particularity. It is one of the simplest and the first experimentally known planar tetracoordinate nitrogen (ptN) species. It contains a beautiful square structure with a central nitrogen in planar tetracoordination. Utilization of such an exotic magic unit as a building block might be of great interest. Yet the fundamental issue is the following: (1) Can  $Al_4N^-$  be used for cluster assembly? (2) If this is possible, how can the magic cluster  $Al_4N^-$  be assembled and stabilized?"Bottom-up" gives us the answer!

Herein, we explore the bottom-up growth of the relatively simple and magic-numbered Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup>, which is experimentally available and possesses unique properties, that is, high-symmetry, aromaticity,<sup>9</sup> planarity, and simplicity. We considered an important strategy- "sandwiching", which is probably the most powerful one for assembly and growth of a stable small unit (e.g., C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub><sup>-</sup> (Cp<sup>-</sup>)) into more complex compounds and has gestated in a rich chemistry of metallocenes (CpMCp).<sup>10a</sup> We made the first attempt to design the  $\pi$ -stacked dimers and the assembled systems in sandwich-like forms based on the magic unit Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup>. We found that the  $\pi$ -stacked growth pattern is not feasible, and for all six metals (M = Li, Na, K, Be, Mg, and Ca) the assembly and growth of Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> cannot be realized in the traditional "homo-decked sandwich" form  $[(Al_4N)_2M]^{q-}$ . However, our newly proposed "hetero-decked sandwich"<sup>10b-e</sup> scheme (e.g.,  $[CpM(Al_4N)]^{q-}$ ) is very effective in assembly and growth. The good structural and electronic integrity of the Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> unit within the designed assembled systems leads us to propose that the magic unit  $Al_4N^-$  might act as a new kind of "superatom"<sup>8d-f,11</sup> in combinational chemistry.

## 2. Computational Methods

Initially, we fully optimized the geometries of  $[D(Al_4N)M]^{q-}$ (D = Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup>, Cp<sup>-</sup>; M = Li, Na, K, q = 1; M = Be, Mg, Ca, q = 0) employing analytical gradients with the polarized splitvalence basis set 6-311+G(d) using the hybrid method, which includes a mixture of Hartree–Fock exchange with density functional exchange correlation (B3LYP).<sup>13a-d</sup> After geometrical optimization, vibrational analyses were performed to check whether the obtained structures are local minima with all real frequencies. All calculations were performed with the Gaussian-03 program (see ref 13e and the Supporting Information section).

## 3. Theoretical Results and Discussions

A. Can Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> Grow Up in the Bricklaying Architecture? The high-symmetry of magic cluster Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> inspired us to

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Fax: +86-431-88498026. E-mail: yhdd@mail. jlu.edu.cn.



Figure 1. Six possible interaction types of  $[(Al_4N)_2]^{2-}$  (I–VI). For simplicity, the center N-atom is omitted. In each type, one unit can rotate along the axis by 0° and 90°.



Figure 2. Most relevant  $[Al_8N_2]^{2-}$  species at the B3LYP/6-311+G(d) level. The energy values are in kcal/mol.



Figure 3. Six possible sandwich types of  $[(Al_4N)_2M]^{q-}$  (I–VI) for each M. For simplicity, the center N-atom is omitted. In each type, one unit can rotate along the axis by  $0^{\circ}$  and  $90^{\circ}$ .

wonder whether such a beautiful building block can be selfassembled like C<sub>60.6</sub> The aromaticity<sup>9</sup> of Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> hints that it might grow up and be stabilized in the form of the analog of benzene  $\pi$ -stacking interactions (benzene dimer interactions),<sup>12a-b</sup> which have been stressed in many fields ranging from molecular biology<sup>12</sup> to material sciences.<sup>12</sup> Thus, it would be very interesting to investigate whether magic Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> can also form  $\pi$ -stacked dimers, trimers, and even oligomers and polymers. We consider various interaction types (Figure 1) between two  $Al_4N^-$  units in the combination of f (face), s (side), and c (corner) directions. After a detailed structural search at the B3LYP/6-311+G(d)<sup>13</sup> level, we found that all the structural types shown in Figure 1 are not energy minima. Instead, many agglomeration forms have lower energies (see Figure 2). (All the energy profiles of the  $[Al_8N_2]^{2-}$  species can be found in the Supporting Information section.) Thus, the magic cluster Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> cannot "grow up" in the self-organized assemblies without any other help.

**B.** Can Metal Atoms Effectively Separate and Protect the Magic  $Al_4N^-$  Decks? The failure of the direct layered self-assembly of  $Al_4N^-$  described in Section A prompts us to inspect the possibility of the  $Al_4N^-$  self-assembly assisted by M-atoms. Here, M- atoms may play two important roles in cluster self-

assembly grown-up processes, that is, isolate and chargecompensate two or more Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> units. The assembly of the magic Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> in the traditional "homo-decked sandwich" form  $[(Al_4N)_2M]^{q-}$  with M = Li, Na, K, q = 1; M = Be, Mg, Ca, q = 0 is investigated at the B3LYP/6-311+G(d) level. The possible sandwich interaction types are shown in Figure 3. The type I structure is similar to the well-known metallocene CpMCp, in which two  $Cp^-$  adopt the face-face (f-f) type. The energy profiles of the most relevant  $[Al_8N_2M]^{q-}$  species, that is, all sandwich forms and those with lower energy than the lowest-energy sandwich form, are schematically shown in Figure 4. The other isomers can be found in Supporting Information. First, for all the six main-group elements, the sandwich species **IV**-VI have energies that are very close to each other and are all energetically lower than I-III. Via the rotation of the Al<sub>4</sub>N deck along different axes, I-III can be easily converted to the lower-energy IV-VI. The interconversion between **IV**–**VI** via simple rotation is also very easy, as can be indicated by the very small rotation frequency. Second, there are many fusion isomers lower than the lowest-energy sandwich structure and it is thus thermodynamically unstable. Thus, the magic-numbered Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> cannot be assembled or grown up in the "homo-decked sandwich" growth pattern. This might



Figure 4. Most relevant  $[Al_8N_2M]^{q-}$  (M = Li, Na, K, q = 1; M = Be, Mg, Ca, q = 0) species at the B3LYP/6-311+G(d) level. The energy values are in kcal/mol.



**Figure 5.** The sandwich forms of  $[CpM(Al_4N)]^{q-}$  (M = Li, Na, K, q = 1; M = Be, Mg, Ca, q = 0) obtained at the B3LYP/6-311+G(d) level. Relative energy and binding energy (in parentheses) between the Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> unit and fragment CpM<sup>*q*+</sup> are listed. Energy values are in kcal/mol. The B3LYP/6-311+G(d) orbital diagrams<sup>12f</sup> of (g) Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> and (h) [CpLi(Al<sub>4</sub>N)]<sup>-</sup>.

be ascribed to the fusion trend between the two  $Al_4N^-$  decks and that the M-atoms cannot effectively separate the  $Al_4N^-$  units in the array.

C. Cooperation of Metal Atoms with the Organic Aromatic Deck Cp<sup>-</sup> (C<sub>5</sub>H<sub>5</sub><sup>-</sup>) Can Effectively Separate and Protect the Magic Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup>. Section B told us that the magic Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> still cannot grow up solely assisted by M-atoms in the "homo-decked sandwich" form. Additional assistance and other stabilization factors are necessary in the growth of Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup>. Here we show that an isolation-wall like the rigid and organic aromatic deck Cp<sup>-</sup> can cooperate with the magic-numbered Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> to sandwich the M-atoms by avoidance of fusion in the growth process. Various isomeric forms for each of the six maingroup elements (M = Li, Na, K, Be, Mg, and Ca) are searched. For simplicity, only the lower-lying structures are shown in Figure 5. Others can be found in Supporting Information. For all the six metals (M = Li, Na, K, Be, Mg, and Ca), two kinds of sandwich forms  $1^{q-}(\mathbf{f}-\mathbf{s})$  and  $1^{q-}(\mathbf{f}-\mathbf{f})$  exist, with the former associated with the "face (Cp<sup>-</sup>)-side (Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup>)" (f-s) type and the latter with the "face  $(Cp^{-})$ -face  $(Al_4N^{-})$ " (f-f) type. The scheme described here is called "hetero-decked sandwich", which has been successfully applied to the sandwiching of the all-metal aromatic units  $Al_3^{-10b}$  and  $Al_4^{2-10c}$  as well as the planar tetracoordinate carbon units  $CAl_4^{2-10d}$  and  $CAl_3Si^{-.10e}$ In this way, the magic units Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> can be effectively separated and protected. The designed species  $[CpM(Al_4N)]^{q-}$  belong to a new class of sandwich-like compounds, which are intuitively of special interest because they contain both the classic organic aromatic unit Cp<sup>-</sup> and the novel magic unit Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup>. In order to evaluate the stabilities of our designed hetero-decked sandwichtype complexes, we made calculations on the binding energies between the magic cluster  $Al_4N^-$  and fragments  $CpM^{q+}$  for the hetero-decked sandwich species [CpM(Al<sub>4</sub>N)]<sup>q-</sup>. For alkali metals M = Li, Na, and K, the binding energies are about 20 kcal/mol (see Figure 5). For alkaline earth metals M = Be, Mg, and Ca, the binding energies range from 105 to 155 kcal/ mol. Note that the binding energies are large for the alkaline earth metals, mainly due to the coulomb interactions. The above binding energies are indicative of sufficient stability of our designed hetero-decked assembled compounds.

Interestingly, among all the designed homo-decked and hetero-decked sandwich species, the magic Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> generally prefers to use its side (Al-Al bond) site to interact with the partner deck Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> or Cp<sup>-</sup>. This is in contrast to the known decks such as the famous and versatile Cp<sup>-</sup> and the carbonfree and exotic  $Al_4^{2-}$ ,  $l^{4a-b} P_5^{-}$ ,  $l^{4c-e}$  and  $N_4^{2-}$ ,  $l^{4f-i}$  that prefer the traditional face-face (f-f) interaction type. Moreover, among all the calculated [CpM(Al<sub>4</sub>N)]<sup>q-</sup> systems, the planar Cp<sup>-</sup> structure is well maintained, indicative of the unique "rigidity" of this organic unit. Fusion of the Cp<sup>-</sup> and Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> decks to form new C-Al, C-N, or C-M bonds is energetically unfavorable. This indicates that Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> can be viewed as a "superatom". The Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> can grow up into dimer, trimer, oligomer, and even polymer in the form of the hetero-decked packing through M-atoms lying between Cp<sup>-</sup> and Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup>. The Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup>, M-atoms, and Cp<sup>-</sup> alternant position in the array should be ideal arrangements in designing more complex compounds based on the magic Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup>.

In order to get insight into the origin of planarity and exotic electronic structure of such novel sandwich-like compounds based on the magic Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup>, we examined the molecular orbitals of bare  $Al_4N^-$  and the sandwich-like compound  $[CpLi(Al_4N)]^-$ . Figure 5g,h shows their highest occupied molecular orbitals (HOMOs): the ligand-ligand bonding HOMO. The structural planarity of these species is achieved through a strong fourcenter peripheral ligand-ligand bonding interaction in their highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO). From Figure 5g,h we can see the shapes of orbitals in (g) bare  $Al_4N^-$  and (h) sandwich-like compound  $[CpLi(Al_4N)]^-$  are generally the same. Thus, the magic  $Al_4N^-$  can maintain its electronic and structural integrity in sandwich forms. Therefore, Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> could be used as a building block and "superatom" in designing planar tetracoordinate nitrogen complexes and planar AIN compounds. The originality of planarity of other simple pentatomic species has been previously revealed in several nice papers.<sup>5a-i</sup>

In order to get insight into the interactions of our designed hetero-decked sandwich-type complexes, we perform detailed NBO<sup>16a-c</sup> analysis. The NPA charges on the Al<sub>4</sub>N units range from -0.901 to -1.009 |e|, from -0.923 to -0.981 |e|, from -0.964 to -0.992 |e|, from -0.386 to -0.918 |e|, from -0.545to -0.926 |e|, and from -0.793 to -0.894 |e| in the heterodecked sandwich-like compounds  $[CpM(Al_4N)]^{q-}$  for M = Li, Na, K, Be, Mg, and Ca, respectively. Generally, the NPA charges on Al<sub>4</sub>N units in the alkali metal sandwich species are very close to that of the free  $Al_4N^-$  (ionic limit of -1). Whereas the NPA charges on Al<sub>4</sub>N units in the alkaline earth metal sandwich species are a slight departure from that of the free  $Al_4N^-$ . For M = Be, the departure is slightly larger due to its large covalent property. The NPA charges on the Cp units range from -0.856 to -0.905 |e|, from -0.919 to -0.944 |e|, from -0.956 to -0.961 |e|, from -0.758 to -0.770 |e|, from -0.840 to -0.856 |e|, and from -0.851 to -0.859 |e| in [CpM(Al<sub>4</sub>N)]<sup>q-</sup> for M = Li, Na, K, Be, Mg, and Ca, respectively. Thus, the NPA charges on the Cp units are close to that of the free Cp<sup>-</sup>. The NPA charges on alkali metal atoms (Li, Na, and K) range from +0.806 to +0.865 |e|, from +0.866 to +0.900 |e|, and from +0.926 to +0.948 |e| in  $[CpM(Al_4N)]^-$  for M = Li, Na, and K, respectively. For the alkaline earth atoms, the NPA charges range from  $\pm 1.156$  to  $\pm 1.676$  |e|, from  $\pm 1.401$  to +1.766 |e|, and from +1.652 to +1.745 |e| in [CpM(Al<sub>4</sub>N)] for M = Be, Mg. and Ca, respectively. We can see that the NPA charges on alkali metal atoms (Li, Na, and K) are a slight departure from the ionic limit of +1. For alkaline earth atoms (Be, Mg, and Ca), the NPA charges are a slight departure from the ionic limit of +2, whereas for Be, the departure is slightly larger due to its large covalent property.

Additional evidence of the stability of the hetero-decked assembled species comes from an inspection of the energy of the following reaction:  $Cp^- + M^{n+} + Al_4N^- \rightarrow [CpM(Al_4N)]^{q-}$ (M = Li, Na, K, n = q = 1; M = Be, Mg, Ca, n = 2, q =0). The heat of formation of the hetero-decked species  $[CpM(Al_4N)]^{q-}$  range from -190.05 to -192.90, from -166.58 to -158.72, from -145.04 to -138.68, from -676.66 to -674.03, from -531.24 to -511.05, and from -443.78 to -428.40 kcal/mol for M = Li, Na, K, Be, Mg, and Ca, respectively. The above synthesis reactions release large energies, thus indicative of the strongly thermodynamical feasibility. We are aware that the cohesive energies between the dication  $(M^{2+})$  and the monoanions  $(Cp^{-} \text{ and } Al_4N^{-})$  are much larger than the cohesive energies between the monocation  $(M^+)$  and monoanions (Cp<sup>-</sup> and Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup>). Such results are consistent with the theorem of electrostatics. The fragmentation of the heterodecked sandwich species will not occur easily, because the cohesive energies are large.

**D. Origin of Fusion.** Why can the assembly of  $Al_4N^-$  only be realized in the form of the "hetero-decked sandwich" scheme instead of the  $\pi$ -stacked growth pattern or the traditional "homodecked sandwich" scheme? Why would the  $\pi$ -stacked growth pattern and the "homo-decked sandwich" assembly lead to fusion between two  $Al_4N^-$  decks? To answer these questions, let us analyze the mechanism of cluster assembly.

Two types of reaction processes might take place when the decks  $D_1^{m-}$ ,  $D_2^{n-}$ , and one  $M^{q+}$  ion come close:

- (1) ionic interaction:  $D_1^{m-} + M^{q+} + D_2^{n-} \rightarrow (D_1^{m-})M^{q+}(D_2^{n-})$
- (2) fusion interaction:  $D_1^{m-} + D_2^{n-} + M^{q+} \rightarrow M^{q+} [D_1 D_2]^{(m+n)-}$

In process (1), each sandwiching deck  $(D_1^{m-1})$  and  $D_2^{n-1}$ participates in the electrostatic interaction with  $M^{q+}$  to form a sandwich-like structure  $(D_1^{m-})M^{q+}(D_2^{n-})$ . In process (2), the "clustering fusion" takes place. Principally, any two decks have the trend to form a more coagulated cluster containing more bonds so as to lower the system energy. The competition between processes (1) and (2) determines whether formation of a sandwich-like complex or a coagulated cluster leads to energetic stabilization. When the monoanionic Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> undergoes the traditional "homo-decked sandwich" assembly, the fusion interaction overwhelms the ionic interaction because of the favorable cluster coagulation. The bonding within the magic Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> is not strong enough to avoid fusion. So, as shown in section A, the homo-decked sandwich structures are energetically much less stable than the fused isomers. Yet, the situation is quite different in the novel "hetero-decked sandwich" form. The fusion tendency can be greatly suppressed due to the introduction of a rigid sandwiching partner Cp<sup>-</sup>. The large organic aromaticity allows  $Cp^-$  to perfectly keep its (near)  $D_{5h}$ structure. Any structural fusion with Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> will destroy the aromaticity of Cp<sup>-</sup> to greatly raise the system energy. As a result, only in the form of the novel "hetero-decked sandwich" scheme can the magic Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> be assembled into sandwich-like complexes.

The above detailed analysis of the ionic and clustering interactions between the two decks is quite crucial to improve the insight and cognition on the nature and origin of the interactions of the "deck–core–deck" in the metallocenes. Such information is also important in understanding and designing



Figure 6. Some illustrative comparative structures of sandwich-like and fusion isomers of hetero-decked sandwich-like complexes  $[CpLi(Al_4N)]^-$  are obtained at the B3LYP/6-311+G(d) level, the energy values are in kcal/mol.



Figure 7. Selected extended sandwich complexes obtained at the B3LYP/6-31+G(d) level.

novel sandwich-type complexes and cluster-assembled molecular compounds. For intuitionistic understanding of the above discussion, we illustrate the comparative structures of sandwich-like species and fusion isomers of hetero-decked assembled complexes  $[CpLi(Al_4N)]^-$  in testimony of the above analysis. The  $Cp^-$  and  $Al_4N^{-9}$  are two aromatic decks, any of which distorted or fused together will reduce or even destroy the aromaticity and thus lead to high energies. From Figure 6, we can see that the fusion isomers are indeed energetically higher than sandwich-like forms.

Also, we can make an energetic estimate for the loss of aromaticity from the comparison of the low-lying sandwich species and high-lying fusion isomers. In Figure 6, the aromaticity of the two aromatic decks Cp<sup>-</sup> and Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> is reduced or even largely destroyed in the fusion isomers  $(2^{-}-12^{-})$ . From isomers  $2^{-}-11^{-}$ , the aromaticity of Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> is almost destroyed, and the aromaticity of Cp<sup>-</sup> is reduced or partially destroyed. In isomer  $12^{-}$ , the aromaticity of Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> is completely destroyed, and the aromaticity of Cp<sup>-</sup> is almost destroyed, that is, the two aromatic decks are all destroyed, indicative that the average energy of the simultaneous loss of aromaticity of Cp<sup>-</sup> and Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> is more than 80 kcal/mol. So, the fusion isomer  $12^-$  is energetically much higher than the other fusion isomers  $(2^{-} 11^{-}$ ). Thus, the average energy for the loss of aromaticity of  $Al_4N^-$  is about 40 kcal/mol, which can be estimated from the comparison between sandwich isomer  $1^-$  and fusion isomers  $(2^{-}-11^{-})$ . The average energy for the loss of aromaticity of

 $Cp^-$  is about 50 kcal/mol, which can be estimated from the comparison between the fusion isomers  $2^-$  and  $12^-$ . It should be pointed out that the above estimate of average energy for the loss of the aromaticity is qualitative. In summary, the fusion isomers are energetically much higher than the sandwich species. Moreover, the aromaticity of the fusion isomers is reduced, partially destroyed, or even completely destroyed compared with that of the sandwich species.

E. Magic Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> Grows Up in the Extended Sandwichlike Structures. Can magic Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> form extended sandwich compounds? From the preceding discussions, we have confidence that the hetero-decked sandwich scheme is an effective growth pattern for magic  $Al_4N^-$ . The high symmetry of  $Al_4N^$ and the f-s, f-c, and f-f interaction types render the "bottomup" growth very promising! As an extension of the present study and a testimony of "bottom-up" growth, we design the Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup>based extended systems containing more Cp<sup>-</sup> and Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> units in various sandwich-like forms at the B3LYP/6-31+G(d) level. For simplicity, only selected low-lying species are shown in Figure 7. We can easily see the atomic-level manipulation and assembly of the magic Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> as well as the isolation effects of Cp<sup>-</sup>. Many other designed extended sandwich-like structures can be found in Supporting Information. Surely, the growth mechanism from the simple extended sandwich-like structures (Figure 7) to the much more highly extended 3D sandwichlike species with more  $Cp^-$  and  $Al_4N^-$  units  $(Cp^-)_m(Li^+)_n(Al_4N^-)_n$ (m, n, and o are integers) is viable. Because of computational



Figure 8. Illustrative more highly extended 3D sandwich structure based on  $Al_4N^-$ . The dashed lines show the cluster-growth direction in which more  $Al_4N^-$  or  $Cp^-$  decks can be added.

cost, we do not attempt to calculate these species. One illustrative example is given in Figure 8.

F. A General and Effective Growth Pattern of Magic Cluster: Capture, Assembly, and Stabilization of the Magic Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> Cluster through a Separated and Protected Scheme. The capture, assembly, and stabilization of a stable cluster have continued to be a hot topic for chemists. Similar to the recently discovered Au<sub>20</sub>,<sup>15</sup> the magic Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> still needs protection in its assembly and growth processes. Generally, the protection and stabilization of a unique cluster have to be made by using bulky substitutions within the molecular skeleton (e.g., PPh<sub>3</sub>, C(CH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>). Yet, a severe shortcoming of such "steric-stabilization" is that it is difficult to modify and grow further. Moreover, they cannot be used as building blocks in cluster assembly due to the steric effects. The natural bond orbital (NBO)<sup>16a-c</sup> analyses can give new insights into the understanding of the atomic charge distribution of Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup>-based sandwich species. The NBO results<sup>16d</sup> indicate that in the free Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> and Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup>-based sandwich-like species, the N atom serves as the negatively charged nonmetal center and the four peripheral Al atoms form a positively charged ring. This suggests that each of the peripheral atoms is apt to react with outer reagents. Luckily, our newly proposed "hetero-decked sandwich" scheme can effectively suppress the reactivity of Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> by introducing the LiCp pairs (see Figure 5 and 7). It is even possible that the reactivity of Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> can be completely suppressed by being fully "dressed" with six LiCp pairs (see Figure 7d) in six directions. In this way, the magic  $Al_4N^-$  is well captured into a "rice-ball" structure (Figure 7c) and a "cage" structure (Figure 7d). We call such a cluster-stabilization method "sandwich-stabilization". The advantage of the new method is that (1) the steric effect can easily be introduced by choosing suitable "dressers" (e.g., change LiCp to LiCp\* with all hydrogen atoms substituted by methyl groups); (2) since the bonding between  $Al_4N^-$  and the LiCp pair is mainly of the ionic interaction, the neutral LiCp pair can easily be "undressed" when we want to have Al<sub>4</sub>Nfor further usage; and (3) thus, the manipulation of cluster size should be easy by simply capturing more Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> units dressed by LiCp pairs. The new method may present an effective way to control and modulate the properties of target clusterassembled molecular complexes.

The easy capturability by our newly proposed "hetero-decked sandwich" scheme and the electronic and structural-embedded "superatom" feature lead us to predict that the magic  $Al_4N^-$  can act as a robust building block to design novel molecular compounds. We believe that the properties of the designed sandwich-like compounds should depend on the number of magic number  $Al_4N^-$ . The bulky LiCp pairs should well separate the  $Al_4N^-$ -decks from each other, resulting in the effective avoidance of fusion. Thus, our designed "hetero-decked sandwich-like species would be ideal candidates for promising nanoscale devices.

### 4. Conclusions

In summary, the present study described the first attempt to assemble and stabilize the magic unit Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> into assembled molecular systems in sandwich-like forms. The growth pattern of magic  $Al_4N^-$  is apt to take the f-s and f-f interaction forms, which are favorable in avoiding cluster fusion under the isolation effects. The designed species await future experimental verification (e.g., anion photoelectron spectroscopy and solution synthesis). Current studies suggest that it is possible to synthesize "cluster-salt crystals" of our predicted AlN species. Such assembly procedures could also be applied to many other ptN molecules (Al<sub>x</sub>N<sup>-</sup> (x = 3, 5), Al<sub>3</sub>SiN) and ptC species (AlSi<sub>3</sub>B, CAl<sub>3</sub>Ge, CAl<sub>3</sub>Ge<sup>-</sup>,  $CSi_2X_2$  (X = Al, Ga) and CGe<sub>2</sub>-Al<sub>2</sub>). Compared to the traditional metallocenes with mere Cp<sup>-</sup> decks, our designed complexes represent a new class of metallocene containing the magic cluster Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup>, among which Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> generally prefers to use its side (Al–Al bond) to interact with the partner deck rather than in form of the traditional faceface interaction type for the known decks Cp<sup>-</sup>, P<sub>5</sub><sup>-</sup>, Al<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>, and  $N_4^{2-}$ . Moreover, the structural and electronic integrity of  $Al_4N^$ are generally well kept during the hetero-decked sandwiching. Thus, Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> could act as a new type of "superatom". To our knowledge, this is the first time to propose a planar nitrogendoped aluminum cluster as a superatom. Future studies on the "superatom" chemistry of Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> are desired.

Acknowledgment. This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 20103003, 20573046), Excellent Young Teacher Foundation of Ministry of Education of China's Excellent Young People Foundation of Jilin Province, and Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (NCET). The reviewer's invaluable comments are greatly appreciated.

**Supporting Information Available:** Full ref 13e. Structures and calculated properties of molecules. This information is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

#### **References and Notes**

(1) (a) Ponce, F. A.; Bour, D. P. Nature (London) 1997, 386, 351. (b) Kiehne, G. T.; Wong, G. K. L.; Ketterson, J. B. J. Appl. Phys. 1998, 84, 5922. (c) Krupitskaya, R. Y.; Auner, G. W. J. Appl. Phys. 1998, 84, 2861. (d) Kuo, P. K.; Auner, G. W.; Wu, Z. L. Thin Solid Films 1994, 253, 223. (e) Ruiz, E.; Alvarez, S.; Alemany, P. Phys. Rev. B 1994, 49, 7115. (e) Wang, X. D.; Jiang, W.; Norton, M. G.; Hipps, K. W. Thin Solid Films 1994, 251, 121. (f) Kotula, P. G.; Carter, C. B.; Norton, M. G. J. Mater. *Sci. Lett.* **1994**, *13*, 1275. (g) Rille, E.; Zarwasch, R.; Pulker, H. K. *Thin Solid Films* **1993**, 228, 215. (h) Kim, H. J.; Egashira, Y.; Komiyama, H. Appl. Phys. Lett. 1991, 59, 2521. (i) Pauleau, Y.; Bouteville, A.; Hantzpergue, J. J.; Remy, J. C.; Cachard, A. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1980, 127, Jorgel, J. J., Kimy, J. C., Cachard, H. S., Elconomi, J. C. Marter, J. S. (j) Kimura, I.; Hotta, N.; Nukui, H.; Saito, N.; Yasukawa, S. J. Mater. Sci. Lett. **1988**, 7, 66. (k) Kimura, I.; Hotta, N.; Nukui, H.; Saito, N.; Yasukawa, S. J. Mater. Sci. **1989**, 24, 4076. (l) Egashira, Y.; Kim, H. J.; Komiyama, H. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 1994, 77, 2009. (m) Hashman, T. W.; Pratsinis, S. E. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 1992, 75, 920. (n) Lee, W. Y.; Lackey, W. J.; Agrawal, P. K. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 1991, 74, 1821. (o) Chu, T. L.; Kelm, R. W. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1975, 122, 995. (p) Jiang, Z. P.; Interrante, L. V. Chem. Mater. 1990, 2, 439. (q) Sauls, F. C.; Interrante, L. V. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1993, 128, 193. (r) Sauls, F.; Interrante, L. V.; Jiang, Z. P. Inorg. Chem. 1990, 29, 2989. (s) Sauls, F. C.; Hurley, W. J.; Interrante, L. V.; Marchetti, P. S.; Maciel, G. E. Chem. Mater. 1995, 7, 1361.

(2) (a) Wu, Q.; Hu, Z.; Wang, X. Z.; Lu, Y. N.; Chen, X.; Xu, H.;
Chen, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 10176-10177. (b) Zhao, M. W.;
Xia, Y. Y.; Zhang, D. J.; Mei, L. M. Phys. Rev. B 2003, 68, 235415. (c)
Chen, X.; Ma, J.; Hu, Z.; Wu, Q.; Chen, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 7982-7983, and references therein. (d) Xu, X. H.; Wu, H. S.; Zhang, F. Q.; Zhang, C. J.; Jin, Z. H. J. Mol. Struct.: THEOCHEM 2001, 542, 239.
(e) Zhang, D. J.; Zhang, R. Q. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2003, 371, 426. (f) Wu, H. S.; Zhang, F. Q.; Xu, X. H.; Zhang, C. J.; Jiao, H. J. J. Phys. Chem. A 2003, 107, 204-209.

(3) (a) Nakamura, S. In *Proceedings of International Symposium on Blue Laser and Light Emitting Diodes*; Yoshikawa, A., Kishino, K., Klobayashi, M., Yasuda, T., Eds.; Chiba University Press: Chiba City, 1996; p 119, and references therein. (b) Belyanin, A. F.; Bouilov, L. L.; Zhirnov, V. V.; Kamenev, A. I.; Kovalskij, K. A.; Spitsyn, B. V. *Diamond Relat. Mater.* **1999**, 8, 369, and references therein.

(4) (a) Morz, T. J., Jr. Ceram. Bull. 1991, 70, 849. 1. (b) Chemistry of Aluminum, Gallium, Indium and Thallium; Downs, A. J., Ed.; Chapman and Hall: New York, 1993. (c) Pandey, R.; Sutjianto, A.; Seel, M.; Jaffe, J. E. J. Mater. Res. 1993, 8, 1992. (d) Ruiz, E.; Alvarez, S.; Alemarz, P. Phys. Rev. B: Condens. Matter 1994, 94, 7115. (e) Miwa, K.; Fukumoto, A. Phys. Rev. B 1993, 48, 789. (f) Ueno, M.; Onodera, A.; Shimomura, O.; Takemura, K. Phys. Rev. B 1992, 45, 10123. (g) Knudsen, A. K. Bull. Am. Ceram. Soc. 1995, 74, 97. (h) Timoshkin, A. Y.; Bettinger, H. F.; Schaefer, H. F., III. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 5668. (i) Krupitskaya, R. Y.; Auner, G. W. J. Appl. Phys. 1998, 84, 2861. (j) Kiehne, G. T.; Wong, G. K. L.; Ketterson, J. B. J. Appl. Phys. 1998, 84, 5922.

(5) (a) Schleyer, P. v. R.; Boldyrev, A. I. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1991, 1536. (b) Boldyrev, A. I.; Schleyer, P. v. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 9045. (c). Boldyrev, A. I.; Simons, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 7967. (d) Li, X.; Wang, L.-S.; Boldyrev, A. I.; Simons, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 6033. (e) Li, X.; Zhang, H. F.; Wang, L. S.; Geske, G. D.; Boldyrev, A. I. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3630. (f) Wang, L. S.; Boldyrev, A. I.; Li, X.; Simons, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 7681. (g) Zakrzewski, V. G.; Niessen, von W.; Boldyrev, A. I.; Schleyer, P. V. R. Chem. Phys. Lett. **1993**, 174, 167. (h) Boldyrev, A. I.; Li, X.; Wang, L. S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 3307. (i) Li, X.; Zhan, H. J.; Wang, L. S. Chem. Phys. Lett. 2002, 357, 415. (j) Nayak, S. K.; Khana, S. N.; Jena, P. Phys. Rev. B 1998, 57, 3787. (k) Nayak, S. K.; Rao, B. K.; Jena, P.; Li, X.; Wang, L. S. Chem. Lett. 1999, 301, 379. (1) Boo, B. H.; Liu, Z. J. Phys. Chem. A 1999, 103, 1250. (m) Andrews, L.; Zhou, M.; Chertihin, G. V.; Bare, W. D.; Hannachi, Y. J. Phys. A 2000, 104, 1656, and references therein. (n) Leskiw, B. R.; Castleman, A. W., Jr.; Ashman, C.; Khanna, S. N. J. Chem. Phys. 2001, 114, 1165. (o) Ling, L.; Song, B.; Cao, P.-L. J. Mol. Struct.: THEOCHEM 2005, 728, 215. (p) Gou, L.; Wu, H.-S. Int. J. Quantum Chem. 2006, 106, 1250. (q) Li, X.; Wang, L. S. Eur. Phys. J. D 2005, 34, 9. (r) Meloni, G.; Sheehan, S. M.; Parsons, B. F.; Neumark, D. M. J. Phys. Chem. A 2006, 110, 3527. (s) Boris, B.; Boldyrev, A. I.; Li, X.; Wang, L. S. J. Chem. Phys. 2006, 125, 124305, and references therein.

(6) (a) Kroto, H. W.; Heath, J. R.; O'Brian, S. C.; Curl, R. F.; Smalley, R. E. *Nature (London)* **1985**, *318*, 162. (b) Kratschmer, W.; Lamb, L. D.; Fostiropoulos, F.; Huffman, D. R. *Nature (London)* **1990**, *347*, 354. (c) Dresselhaus, M. S.; Dresselhaus, G.; Eklund, P. C. *Science of Fullerenes and Carbon Nanotubes*; Academic: San Diego, 1996.

(7) (a) Guo, B. C.; Kerns, K. P.; Castleman, A. W. Science **1992**, 255, 1411. (b) Cartier, S. F.; Chen, Z. Y.; Walder, G. J.; Sleppy, C. R.; Castleman, A. W., Jr. Science **1993**, 260, 195. (c) Cartier, S. F.; May, B. D.; Castleman, A. W., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1994**, 116, 5295. (d) Deng, H. T.; Kerns, K. P.; Castleman, A. W., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, 118, 446.

(8) (a) Li, X.; Wu, H.; Wang, X. B.; Wang, L. S. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1998**, *81*, 1909. (b) Dolgounitcheva, O.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Ortiz, J. V. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1999**, *111*, 10762. (c) Zheng, W. J.; Thomas, O. C.; Lippa, T. P.; Xu, S. J.; Bowen, K. H., Jr. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2006**, *124*, 144304, and references therein. (d) Khanna, S. N.; Jena, P. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1992**, *69*, 1664. (e) Khanna, S. N.; Jena, P. *Phys. Rev. B* **1995**, *51*, 13705. (f) Kurikawa, T.; Takeda, H.; Hirano, M.; Judai, K.; Arita, T.; Nagao, S.; Nakajima, A.; Kaya, K. *Organometallics* **1999**, *18*, 1430.

(9) The NICS above 0.5 and 1 Å out of the square plane Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> (NICS-(0.5) = -29.5191, and NICS(1) = -26.0556) describes the aromaticity of Al<sub>4</sub>N<sup>-</sup> at the B3LYP/6-311+G(d) level of theory. For the example of NICS see: Schleyer, P. v. R.; Maerker, C.; Dransfeld, A.; Jiao, H.; Hommes, N. J. R. V. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, *118*, 6317.

(10) (a) Peckham, T. J.; Gomez-Elipe, P.; Manners, I. Metallocenes,
Vol. 2; Togni, A., Halterman, R. L., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 1998;
p 724. (b) Yang, L. M.; Ding, Y. H.; Sun, C. C. ChemPhysChem 2006, 7,
2478-2482. (c) Yang, L. M.; Ding, Y. H.; Sun, C. C. Chem. Eur. J. 2007,
13, 2546-2555. (d) Yang, L. M.; Ding, Y. H.; Sun, C. C. J. Am. Chem.
Soc. 2007, 129, 658-665. (e) Yang, L. M.; Ding, Y. H.; Sun, C. C. J. Am.
Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 1900-1901. (f) Yang, L. M.; Wang, J.; Ding, Y.
H.; Sun, C. C. Organometallics 2007, 26, 4449. (g) Yang, L. M.; Wang,
J.; Ding, Y. H.; Sun, C. C. J. Phys. Chem. A 2007, 111, 9122-9129. (h)
Yang, L. M.; Ding, Y. H.; Tian, W. Q.; Sun, C. C. Phys. Chem. Chem.
Phys. 2007, B707898F, ASAP article. (i) Yang, L. M.; Ding, Y. H.; Sun,
C. C. Theor. Chem. Acc. 2007, in press.

(11) (a) Bergeron, D. E.; Castleman, A. W., Jr.; Morisato, T.; Khanna, S. N. *Science* **2004**, *304*, 84–87. (b) Bergeron, D. E.; Roach, P. J.; Castleman, A. W.; Jones, N.; Khanna, S. N. *Science* **2005**, *307*, 231–235.

(12) (a) Tsuzuki, S.; Honda, K.; Uchimaru, T.; Mikami, M.; Tanabe.
K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 104, and references therein. (b) Sinnokrot,
M. O.; Valeev, E. F.; Sherrill, C. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 10887,
and references therein. (c) Burley, S. K.; Petsko, G. A. Science 1985, 229,
23. (d) Hunter, C. A. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1994, 23, 101. (e) Rebek, J., Jr.
Chem. Soc. Rev. 1996, 25, 255. (f) Felker, P. M.; Maxton, P. M.; Schaeffer,
M. W. Chem. Rev. 1994, 94, 1787.

(13) (a) Becke, A. D. J. Chem. Phys. 1993, 98, 5648. (b) Lee, C.; Yang,
W.; Parr, R. G. Phys. Rev. B 1988, 37, 785. (c) Clark, T.; Chandrasekhar,
J.; Spitznagel, G. W.; Schleyer, P. V. R. J. Comput. Chem. 1983, 4, 294.
(d) Frisch, M. J.; Pople, J. A.; Binkley, J. S. J. Chem. Phys. 1984, 80, 3265. (e) Frisch, M. J., et. al. Gaussian03, Rev. A.1; Gaussian, Inc.: Pittsburgh, PA, 2003. (For full citations, see Supporting Information). (f)
MO pictures were made with MOLDEN3.4 program. G. Schaftenaar, MOLDEN3.4, CAOS/ CAMM Center: The Netherlands, 1998.

(14) (a) Mercero, J. M.; Ugalde, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 3380. (b) Mercero, J. M.; Formoso, E.; Matxain, J. M.; Eriksson, L. A.; Ugalde, J. M. Chem. Eur. J. 2006, 12, 4495. (c) Urnezius, E.; Brennessel, W. W.; Cramer, C. J.; Ellis, J. E.; Schleyer, P. V. R. Science 2002, 295, 832. (d) Lein, M.; Frunzke, J.; Frenking, G. Inorg. Chem. 2003, 42, 2504. (e) Frunzke, J.; Lein, M.; Frenking, G. Organometallics 2002, 21, 3351. (f) Mercero, J. M.; Matxain, J. M.; Ugalde, J. M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5485. (g) Cheng, L. P.; Li, Q. S. J. Phys. Chem. A 2005, 109, 3182. (i) Guan, J.; Li, Q. S. J. Phys. Chem. A 2005, 109, 3182. (i) Guan, J.; Li, Q. S. J. Phys. Chem. A 2005, 109, 9875.

(15) (a) Li, J.; Li, X.; Zhai, H. J.; Wang, L. S. *Science* 2003, 299, 864.
(b) Zhang, H. F.; Stender, M.; Zhang, R.; Wang, C. M.; Li, J.; Wang, L. S. *J. Phys. Chem. B* 2004, *108*, 12259–12263.

(16) (a) Bauernschmitt, R.; Ahlrichs, R. Chem. Phys. Lett. **1996**, 256, 454. (b) Gisbergen, S. J. A.; van Kootstra, F.; Schipper, P. R. T.; Gritsenko, O. V.; Snijders, J. G.; Baerends, E. J. Phys. Rev. A **1998**, 57, 2556. (c) Matsuzawa, N. N.; Ishitani, A.; Dixon, D. A.; Uda, T. J. Phys. Chem. A **2001**, 105, 4953. (d) The natural charge distribution of the free  $Al_4N^-$  is a follows: N atom carries negative charge: -2.3084 and Al atom carries positive charge: 0.3271 at the B3LYP/6-311+G(d) level.